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## 1. Introduction

Let $u$ and $v$ be non-adjacent points in a connected graph $G$. A classical result known to all graph theorists is that called Menger's theorem. The point version of this result says that the maximum number of point-disjoint paths joining $u$ and $v$ is equal to the minimum number of points whose deletion destroys all paths joining $u$ and $v$. The theorem may be proved purely in the language of graphs (probably the best known proof is indirect, and is due to Dirac [3] while a more neglected, but direct, proof may be found in Ore [7]). One may also prove the theorem by appealing to flow theory (e.g. Berge [1], p. 167).

In many real-world situations which can be modeled by graphs certain paths joining two non-adjacent points may well exist, but may prove essentially useless because they are too long. Such considerations led the authors to study the following two parameters. Let $n$ be any positive integer and let $u$ and $v$ be any two non-adjacent points in a graph $G$.

Denote by $A_{n}(u, v)$ the maximum number of point-disjoint paths joining $u$ and $v$ whose length (i.e., number of lines) does not exceed $n$. Analogously, let $\nabla_{n}(u, v)$ be the minimum number of points in $G$ the deletion of which destroys all paths joining $u$ and $v$ which do not exceed $n$ in length. A special case would obtain when $n=p=|\nabla(G)|$, and we have by Menger's theorem, the equality $A_{n}(u, v)=V_{n}(u, v)$.


[^0]In general, however, one does not have equality, but it is trivial that $A_{n}(u, v) \leq \nabla_{n}(u, v)$ for any positive integer $n$. On the other hand, the graph of Fig. 1 has $V_{5}(u, v)=2$, but $A_{5}(u, v)=1$.

We prefer to formulate our work as a study of the ratio $\frac{V_{n}(u, v)}{A_{n}(u, v)}$ or simply $\frac{V_{n}}{A_{n}}$ when the points $u$ and $v$ are understood. For any terminology not defined in this paper, the reader is referred to the book by Harary [4].

## 2. Bounds for the ratio

As in the introduction we shall assume throughout this paper that $u$ and $v$ are non-adjacent points in the same component of a graph $G$. It is trivial that $1 \leq \frac{V_{n}(u, v)}{A_{n}(u, v)} \leq n-1$. As usual, $d(u, v)$ denotes the distance between points $u$ and $v$. Our first result involves this distance.

Theorem 1. For every positive integer $n \geq 2$ and for each $m=n-d(u, v) \geq$ $\geq 0, \frac{\dot{V}_{n}(u, v)}{A_{n}(u, v)} \leq m+1$.

The construction in Section 3 shows that this bound is sharp.
Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $m$. Hence first let $m=0$, i.e., suppose $n=d(u, v)=n_{0}$. We orient some of the lines of $G$ according to the following rule: let $x y$ be any line. Then if $d(x, v)>d(y, v)$, orient $x$ to $y$. Then, clearly, any $u-v$ geodesic (i.e., a shortest $u-v$ path) yields a dipath from $u$ to $v$. On the other hand, we claim that any $u-v$ dipath must arise from a geodesic $u$-v path in $G$, for just consider our rule of orientation. If $(x, y)$ is a directed line in our dipath, $d(x, v)>d(y, v)$ and distance decreases by 1 as we traverse each diline toward $v$. Hence our dipath cannot have $>n$ lines and hence must have come from a $u-v$ geodesic.

Thus in the oriented subgraph of $G$, the $u-v$ paths are exactly the geodesics, so by Menger's theorem, $V_{n}(u, v)=A_{n}(u, v)$ and the case for $m=0$ is proved.

Now by induction hypothesis, assume that the theorem holds for some $m_{0} \geq 0$ and suppose $m=n-d(u, v)=m_{0}+1$ (and hence that $n>d(u, v)$ ).

Let $X$ be a minimum set of points covering all $u-v$ geodesics. By the case for $m=0$,

$$
|X|=V_{d(u, v)}(u, v)=A_{d(u, v)}(u, v) \leq A_{n}(u, v) .
$$

Consider the graph $G-X$. If $d_{G-X}(u, v)>n, X$ has covered all $u-v$ paths of length $\leq n$ and we have, $V_{n}(u, v)=|X| \leq A_{n}(u, v) \leq m A_{n}(u, v)$ and we
done. So suppose $d_{G_{-}-x}(u, v) \leq n$, say $d_{G-x}(u, v)=n-t$ for some $t$, § $t<m$. (Note that $t<m$ for $X$ destroys all $u-v$ geodesics and thus $\left.: n-d_{G-X}(u, v)<n-d(u, v)=m\right)$.

So by the induction hypothesis applied to points $u$ and $v$ in graph $G-X$, have

$$
V_{n}^{G-X}(u, v) \leq(t+1) A_{n}^{G-X}(u, v) .
$$

it we can then cover all $n$-paths in $G$ joining $u$ and $v$ with a set $Y$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
|Y|=|X|+(t+1) A_{n}^{a-X}(u, v) \leq|X|+(t+1) A_{n}(u, v) \\
\nabla_{n}(u, v) \leq|X|+(t+1) A_{n}(u, v) \leq(t+2) A_{n}(u, v) \leq(m+1) A_{n}(u, v)
\end{gathered}
$$

id the proof is complete.
The next theorem shows that we can do better as far as a bound dependg solely upon $n$ is concerned.

Theorem 2. For any graph $G$, any non-negative integer $n$, and any two on-adjacent points $u$ and $v, V_{n}(u, v) \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] A_{n}(u, v)$.

Proof. If $d(u, v) \geq n / 2+1$, we are done by Theorem 1. So suppose $(u, v) \leq(n+1) / 2$. Choose $D$ such that $d(u, v) \leq D \leq n$ and let $P_{0}$ be a $t-v$ geodesic in $G$. Form a new graph $G_{1}$ from $G$ by removing all interior soints of $P_{0}$. Clearly $d_{G_{1}}(u, v) \geq d_{G}(u, v)$. Now remove any $u$-v geodesic n $G_{1}$, say $P_{1}$, to obtain $G_{2}$. Continue in this manner until we obtain a graph ${\underset{f}{r}}^{\prime}$ containing a $u-v$ geodesic $P_{r}$ such that $l\left(P_{r}\right) \leq D$, but the length of any $\iota-v$ geodesic in $G_{r+1}>D$. For convenience let us denote $G_{r+1}$ by $G^{\prime}$ and similarly for parameters of this graph. Thus $d_{G_{r+1}}(u, v)=d^{\prime}(u, v) \geq D+1$.
. Since we have removed $r$ disjoint $u$-v paths from $G$ to get $G^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n} \geq A_{n}^{\prime}+r \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all discarded paths had length no greater than the length of a $u-v$ geodesic in $G^{\prime}$.

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n} \leq V_{n}^{\prime}+r(D-1) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $G^{\prime}$ is connected, we have by Theorem 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n}^{\prime} \leq\left(n-d^{\prime}(u, v)+1\right) A_{n}^{\prime} \leq(n-\mathbf{D}-1+1) A_{n}^{\prime}=(n-D) A_{n}^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combining (2) and (3), we obtain by (1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{n} \leq(n-D) A_{n}^{\prime}+r(D-1) \leq(n-D)\left(A_{n}-r\right)+r(D-1)= \\
=(n-D) A_{n}+r(2 D-n-1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $r$ is non-negative, choose $D$ to be the greatest integer so that $2 D-n-1 \leq 0$. Hence $D \leq\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ and since $D$ is integral, $D=\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$.

Hence $n-D=n-\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ and thus $V_{n} \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] A_{n}$.
If $G^{\prime}$ is not connected between $u$ and $v$, we have $A_{n}^{\prime}=V_{n}^{\prime}=0$ and conclude similarly.

The bound in this theorem is sharp for $n=2,3$ and 5 (for $n=5$, see Fig. 1). It is, however, not sharp for $n=4$.

Theorem 3. For any graph $G$ with non-adjacent points $u$ and $v, V_{4}(u, v)=$ $=A_{4}(u, v)$.

Proof. Partition the points of $G-u-v$ into disjoint classes $(i, j)$ as follows: $w \in(i, j)$ iff $d(u, w)=i$ and $d(w, v)=j$. Clearly we may ignore classes $(1,1)$ and all $(i, j)$ for $i+j>4$. So the remaining graph $\widehat{\boldsymbol{G}}$ has the appearance of Figure 2.


Fig. 2
Now construct a di-graph $\hat{D}$ as follows. Let $V(\hat{D})=V(\widehat{G})$ and $(x, y) \in E(\widehat{D})$ iff (1) $x y \in E(\widehat{G})$ and (2) $d(u, y)>d(u, x)$.

Hence $\widehat{D}$ has the appearance of Figure 3.


Fig. 3

## Observe that

(a) each dipath in $\widehat{D}$ has length $\leq 4$ and
(b) each chordless path of $\widehat{G}$ of length $\leq 4$ corresponds to a dipath in $\widehat{D}$.

Let $S$ be a set of $V_{4}$ points in $\widehat{G}-u-v$ whose deletion destroys all $u-v$ paths of length $\leq 4$. But then in $\widehat{D}-u-v$ all dipaths from $u$ to $v$ are also destroyed, so $V_{4} \geq \bar{H}(u, v)$ where $\vec{H}(u, v)$ denotes the minimum number of points whose deletion separates $u$ and $v$ in $\widehat{D}$. But by Menger's theorem applied to $\widehat{D}, \vec{H}(u, v)$ ( $=$ the maximum number of point-disjoint dipaths from $u$ to $v$ ) $\leq \boldsymbol{A}_{4}$, since each set of point-disjoint dipaths from $u$ to $v$ in $\widehat{D}$ corresponds to a set of point-disjoint $u-v$ paths in $\widehat{G}$ of the same cardinality.

Thus it will suffice to prove $V_{4} \leq \vec{H}(u, v)$. Let $L$ be any set of $\vec{H}(u, v)$ points in $\widehat{D}-u-v$ whose removal separates $u$ and $v$. We now claim $L$ meets all $u-v$ paths in $\widehat{G}$ of length $\leq 4$. If not, there is a path $P$ joining $u$ and $v$ with length $\leq 4$ and $(\nabla(P)-u-v) \cap L=\emptyset$. We may assume $P$ is chordless. But, then it translates into a dipath from $u$ to $v$ in $\widehat{D}$ on the same points. $L$ does not meet this dipath, which is a contradiction.

In the construction of the next section we will have $\frac{V_{n}}{A_{n}}=\left[\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right]$ or $\left[\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right]+1$. It is unknown to us where for a fixed $n$, the value of sup $\frac{\nabla_{n}}{A_{n}}$ lies in the interval $\left(\left[\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right],\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right)$.

## 3. A Construction

We will construct a graph $G(n, t)$ such that given $t(>0)$, there is an $n$ and a graph $G(n, t)$ which has 2 distinct non-adjacent points $u$ and $v$ such that $A_{n}(u, v)=1$, but $\nabla_{n}(u, v)=t+1$. Moreover, we will show in addition that given any integer $k(\geq 1)$, we can construct a $G(n, t, k)$, which is $k$ connected.

For the moment, suppose $t$ is a given positive integer. Choose any $n>t+1$ and fix it. Construct a path $L$ of length $s=n-t$ joining $u$ and $v$. As is customary, we shall refer to paths having at most their endpoints in common as openly disjoint. Now for each $i, 2 \leq i \leq t+1$, take every pair of points $a, b$ on $L$ which are at a distance $=i$ on $L$ and attach a path of length $i+1$ at $a$ and $b$ which is openly disjoint from $L$. Such paths we shall call ears. (See Figure 4).

Now let $P$ be any $u-v$ path of length $=s^{\prime}(\leq n) . P$ has at least $n-t$ lines since $L$ is a $u-v$ geodesic.

Suppose $P$ uses $r$ ears. Since replacing an ear by the corresponding segment of $L$ shortens the length by $\geq 1$, we have $s^{\prime} \geq n-t+\mathrm{r}$. Hence


$$
\text { length }(L)=s=n-t
$$

Fig. 4
$r \leq t$. Since each ear has $\leq t+1$ interior points, $P$ has $\leq r(t+1)$ points not on $L$. So the number of points of $P$ on $L$ is (not including $u$ and $v$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\geq\left(s^{\prime}-1\right)-r(t+1) \geq n-t+r-1-r(t+1)= \\
=n-(r+1) t-1 \geq n-(t+1) t-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $n-(t+1) t-1>\frac{1}{2}$ (the number of inner points of $L$ ), then any two such paths $P$ must have an interior point in common. Note that the number of inner points of $L=n-t-1$. Thus what we need is that $n-(t+1) t-1>$ $>\frac{1}{2}(n-t-1)$, i.e., $n \geq 2 t^{2}+t+2$. If $n$ is given, the best $t$ satisfying this inequality is either $\left[\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right]-1$ or $\left[\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}\right]$. Then with such an $n$, any two $u-v$ paths of length $\leq n$ must have some inner point of $L$ in common; i.e., $A_{n}(u, v)=1$.

We now proceed to show that $V_{n}(u, v) \geq t+1$. Suppose there is a set $T$ of $t$ points which cover all $u-v$ paths of length $\leq n$. We may assume all points of $T$ lie on $L$, for otherwise move right on the "offending ear" until $L$ is reached and use the point of $L$ thus encountered in place of the original $T$-point. If the ear ends at $v$ take the left-hand end point on $L$. Note also that $u, v$ are joined by no one ear by our choice of $n$.

Let us call the sets of points of $T$ which are consecutive on $L$ the blocks of $T$. There are no more than $t$ such blocks. Recall that $L$ contains $n-t+1$ points where $n-t+1=(n+1)-t \geq 3$ and hence $n-t \geq 2$. Thus we can form a new $u-v$ path $Q$ by jumping each block of $T$ with an ear. This new path $Q$ then misses $T$ and we have added exactly one to the length of $L$ for each block jumped. It follows that $Q$ has length $\leq s+t=n-t+t=n$. Hence, there is a $u-v$ path $Q$ of length $\leq n$ which misses $T$ contradicting the definition of $T$. Thus $\nabla_{n}(u, v) \geq t+1$.

We know at this point that $G(n, t)$ is at least 2 -connected. Let $k$ be any integer $\geq 2$. We now proceed to modify the graph $G(n, t)$ constructed above so that the resulting graph $G(n, t, k)$ retains the properties that $A_{n}(u, v)=1$, $\nabla_{n} \geq t+1$ and in addition is $k$-connected.

The idea is to construct a new graph $H$, join it to $G(n, t)$ by suitably chosen lines so that the resulting graph is $k$-connected, but also so that no new "short" $u-v$ paths are introduced.

Let the points of $G(n, t)$ be $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N}$. Further, let $M=k+n$. Form a path of $M N$ points $p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{M N}$ and then replace each $p_{i}$ with a clique, $K_{k}^{i}$, on $k$ points where each point of $K_{k}^{i}$ is joined to each point of $K_{k}^{i+1}$. Now join $w_{1}$ to exactly one point of each of $K_{k}^{1}, \ldots, K_{k}^{k} ; w_{2}$ to exactly one point of $K_{k}^{M+1}, \ldots, K_{k}^{M+k}$; and, in general, $w_{j}$ to exactly one point of $K_{k}^{(j-1) M+1}, \ldots$ $\ldots, K_{k}^{(j-1) M+k}$ for $j=1, \ldots, N$. It is now easily seen that no new path joining any $w_{i}$ and $w_{j}$ is of length $<n+1$. It is clear that $A_{n}=1$ and $V_{n}=t+1$ in this new graph for any path of length $\leq n$ joining $u$ and $v$ must lie entirely within the original $G(n, t)$ part of this new graph. It is equally clear that the new graph $G(n, t, k)$ is $k$-connected.

## 4. A different type of Mengerian result

In this section we take a different approach. Recall that $\nabla_{n}(u, v) \geq A_{n}(u, v)$ and moreover, strict inequality can occur. One's intuition may indicate that even in this case, if the subscript on $A_{n}$ is allowed to increase to some new value $n^{\prime}$ one can always obtain $V_{n} \leq A_{n^{\prime}}$. The next theorem says that such a conjecture is not only appealing, but true.

Theorem 4. Let $n$ and $h$ be positive integers. Then there is a constant $f(n, h)$ such that if $\nabla_{n}(u, v) \geq h$, then $A_{f(n, h)}(u, v) \geq h$.

In the proof we need the following result.
Theorem 5 (Bollobás [2], Katona [6], Jaeger-Payan [5]). Given any family of $r$-sets which needs at least $t$ points to cover, then there exists a subfamily with $\leq\binom{ r+t-1}{r}$ elements which still needs $t$ points to cover.

Remark. It is trivial to see that instead of " $r$-sets" one can say "sets of size at most $r$ ".

Proof of Theorem 4. Consider sets of interior points of $u-v$ paths of length $\leq n$. By the assumption we need $\geq h$ points to cover the members of this family. By the preceding theorem and the remark following it we can select $\binom{n+h-2}{n-1}$ paths of length $\leq n$ such that we still need $h$ points to cover these
paths. So let $G_{1}$ be the union of these paths and apply Menger's theorem to $G_{1}$ to see that there are $\geq h$ openly disjoint $u-v$ paths. So how long can a longest path in $G_{1}$ be? We have $\binom{n+h-2}{n-1}$ paths of length $\leq n$.

So $G_{1}-u-v$ has $\leq(n-1)\binom{n+h-2}{n-1}$ points. Now among all sets of $\geq h$ openly disjoint $u-v$ paths in $G_{1}$, the longest path one could find would be of length $(n-1)\binom{n+h-2}{n-1}-(h-1)+1$. (This of course happens when one has $h-1$ paths of length 2 and a single long path of the above length.)

$$
\text { Thus set } f(n, h)=(n-1)\binom{n+h-2}{n-1}-h+2 \text { and we have } A_{f(n, h)}(u, v) \geq h \text {. }
$$
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